

EDB Information Disclosure Requirements Information Templates for Schedules 11a–13

Company Name

Network Waitaki Ltd

Disclosure Date

20 March 2019

AMP Planning Period Start Date (first day)

1 April 2019

Templates for Schedules 11a–13 (Asset Management Plan)
Template Version 4.1. Prepared 21 December 2017

Table of Contents

Information disclosure asset management plan schedules

ScheduleSchedule name11aREPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE11bREPORT ON FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE12aREPORT ON ASSET CONDITION12bREPORT ON FORECAST CAPACITY12cREPORT ON FORECAST NETWORK DEMAND12dREPORT FORECAST INTERRUPTIONS AND DURATION13REPORT ON ASSET MANAGEMENT MATURITY

Disclosure Template Instructions

These templates have been prepared for use by EDBs when making disclosures under subclauses 2.6.1(1)(d), 2.6.1(1)(e), 2.6.1(2), 2.6.5(6), 2.6.6(1) and 2.6.6(2) of the Electricity Distribution Information Disclosure Determination 2012. The EDB may include a completed Schedule 13: Report on Asset Management Maturity table with its disclosures made under subclause 2.6.6(1) and 2.6.6(2), but this is not required. Schedule 13 tables that are not completed should be removed from disclosures made under subclause 2.6.6(1) and 2.6.6(2).

Company Name and Dates

To prepare the templates for disclosure, the supplier's company name should be entered in cell C8, the date of the first day of the 10 year planning period should be entered in cell C12, and the date on which the information is disclosed should be entered in cell C10 of the CoverSheet worksheet.

The cell C12 entry (planning period start date) is used to calculate disclosure years in the column headings that show above some of the tables. It is also used to calculate the AMP planning period dates in the template title blocks (the title blocks are the light green shaded areas at the top of each template).

The cell C8 entry (company name) is used in the template title blocks.

Dates should be entered in day/month/year order (Example -"1 April 2013").

Data Entry Cells and Calculated Cells

Data entered into this workbook may be entered only into the data entry cells. Data entry cells are the bordered, unshaded areas (white cells) in each template. Under no circumstances should data be entered into the workbook outside a data entry cell. In some cases, where the information for disclosure is able to be ascertained from disclosures elsewhere in the workbook, such information is disclosed in a calculated cell.

Validation Settings on Data Entry Cells

To maintain a consistency of format and to guard against errors in data entry, some data entry cells test entries for validity and accept only a limited range of values. For example, entries may be limited to a list of category names or to values between 0% and 100%. Where this occurs, a validation message will appear when data is being entered.

Conditional Formatting Settings on Data Entry Cells

Schedule 12a columns G to K contains conditional formatting. The cells will change colour if the row totals do not add to 100%.

Insertina Additional Rows

The templates for schedules 11a, 12b and 12c may require additional rows to be inserted in tables marked 'include additional rows if needed'

Additional rows must not be inserted directly above the first row or below the last row of a table. This is to ensure that entries made in the new row are included in the totals.

For schedule 12b the formula for column J (Utilisation of Installed Firm Capacity %) will need to be copied into the inserted row(s). Column A schedule references should not be entered in additional rows.

Schedule References

The references labelled 'sch ref' in the leftmost column of each template are consistent with the row references in the Electricity Distribution ID Determination 2012 (as issued on 21 December 2017). They provide a common reference between the rows in the determination and the template.

Description of Calculation References

Calculation cell formulas contain links to other cells within the same template or elsewhere in the workbook. Key cell references are described in a column to the right of each template. These descriptions are provided to assist data entry. Cell references refer to the row of the template and not the schedule reference.

Network Waitaki Ltd 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is not part of audited disclosure information.

sch ref												
7		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
8	for year ended		31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24	31 Mar 25	31 Mar 26	31 Mar 27	31 Mar 28	31 Mar 29
		31 Wai 15	31 Widi 20	31 Willi 21	31 Widi 22	31 Wai 23	31 Mai 24	31 Wai 23	31 Will 20	31 Widi 27	31 Wai 20	31 Widi 23
9	11a(i): Expenditure on Assets Forecast	\$000 (in nominal d	ollars)									
10	Consumer connection	1,129	1,249	1,274	1,299	1,325	1,352	1,379	1,407	1,435	1,463	1,493
11	System growth	485	3,320	3,524	2,788	6,081	3,442	1,965	2,568	666	680	693
12	Asset replacement and renewal	2,630	3,460	3,142	2,601	2,473	3,431	2,103	1,976	2,016	2,056	2,097
13	Asset relocations	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
14	Reliability, safety and environment:	2.222	4.422	750	coc	250	256	275	202	404	452	455
15	Quality of supply	3,322	1,132	750	686	360	356		202	194	163	166
16 17	Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment	85	480	490	302	308	11	11	11	11	12	12
18	Total reliability, safety and environment	3,407	1.612	1,239	987	667	367	286	213	206	175	178
19	Expenditure on network assets	7,651	9,641	9,179	7,676	10,546	8,592	5,733	6,163	4,323	4,374	4,461
20	Expenditure on non-network assets	2,085	2.614	534	712	689	643	656	669	682	696	710
21	Expenditure on assets	9,736	12,255	9,713	8,388	11,235	9,235	6,389	6,832	5,005	5,070	5,171
22	·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					<u> </u>	· · ·	· · ·	· · · · · ·	
23	plus Cost of financing	557	701	556	480	643	528	365	391	286	290	296
24	less Value of capital contributions	1,657	1,267	1,274	1,299	1,325	1,352	1,379	1,407	1,435	1,463	1,493
25	plus Value of vested assets											
26												
27	Capital expenditure forecast	8,636	11,689	8,995	7,568	10,552	8,412	5,376	5,817	3,856	3,896	3,974
28			T		1	,			T	T		
29												
	Assets commissioned	6,556	15,676	8,995	7,568	10,552	8,412	5,376	5,817	3,856	3,896	3,974
	Assets commissioned			-,							· · ·	
30		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
	Assets commissioned for year ended	Current Year CY		-,							· · ·	
30 31		Current Year CY 31 Mar 19	CY+1 31 Mar 20	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
30 31 32	for year ended	Current Year CY	CY+1 31 Mar 20	CY+2	CY+3 31 Mar 22	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
30 31	for year ended Consumer connection	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p	CY+1 31 Mar 20 prices)	CY+2 31 Mar 21	CY+3	CY+4 31 Mar 23	CY+5 31 Mar 24	<i>CY+6</i> 31 Mar 25	CY+7 31 Mar 26	CY+8 31 Mar 27	CY+9 31 Mar 28	CY+10 31 Mar 29
30 31 32 33	for year ended	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p	CY+1 31 Mar 20 prices)	CY+2 31 Mar 21	CY+3 31 Mar 22	CY+4 31 Mar 23	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249	<i>CY+6</i> 31 Mar 25	CY+7 31 Mar 26	CY+8 31 Mar 27	CY+9 31 Mar 28	CY+10 31 Mar 29
30 31 32 33 34	for year ended Consumer connection System growth	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988	CY+1 31 Mar 20 vrices) 1,249 3,320	CY+2 31 Mar 21 1,249 3,455	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780	CY+7 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280	CY+8 31 Mar 27 1,249 580	<i>CY+9</i> 31 Mar 28 1,249 580	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580
30 31 32 33 34 35	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988	CY+1 31 Mar 20 vrices) 1,249 3,320	CY+2 31 Mar 21 1,249 3,455	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780	CY+7 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280	CY+8 31 Mar 27 1,249 580	<i>CY+9</i> 31 Mar 28 1,249 580	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676	CY+1 31 Mar 20 prices) 1,249 3,320 3,460	CY+2 31 Mar 21 1,249 3,455 3,080	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780 1,905	CY+7 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280 1,755	CY+8 31 Mar 27 1,249 580 1,755	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580 1,755
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676	CY+1 31 Mar 20 vrices) 1,249 3,320 3,460	CY+2 31 Mar 21 1,249 3,455 3,080	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780 1,905	CY+7 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280 1,755	CY+8 31 Mar 27 1,249 580 1,755	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580 1,755
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676 - 984 190	CY+1 31 Mar 20 vrices) 1,249 3,320 3,460 - 1,132 480	1,249 3,455 3,080 - 735 480	27+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500 - 659 290	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330 - 339 290	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170	1,249 1,780 1,905 249 10	1,249 2,280 1,755 -	1,249 580 1,755 -	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 - 139 10	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580 1,755 -
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676 - 984 190 - 1,174	CY+1 31 Mar 20 vrices) 1,249 3,320 3,460 - 1,132 480 - 1,612	CY+2 31 Mar 21 1,249 3,455 3,080 - 735 480 - 1,215	27+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500 - 659 290 - 949	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330 - 339 290 - 629	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170 - - 329 10 - - 3339	1,249 1,780 1,905 249 10 -	1,249 2,280 1,755 - 179 10 -	1,249 580 1,755 - 169 10 - 179	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 - 139 10 - 149	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580 1,755 - 139 10 -
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676	CY+1 31 Mar 20 rices) 1,249 3,320 3,460	735 480 1,215 8,999	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500 659 290 - 949 7,378	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330 - 339 290 629 9,938	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170 329 10	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780 1,905 249 10 259 5,193	CY+7 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280 1,755 - 179 10 - 189 5,473	1,249 580 1,755 169 10 179 3,763	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 - 139 10 149 3,733	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580 1,755 139 10 - 149 3,733
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Expenditure on non-network assets	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676	CY+1 31 Mar 20 prices) 1,249 3,320 3,460 - 1,132 480 - 1,612 9,641 2,614	735 480 1,249 3,455 3,080 	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500 - 659 290 - 949 7,378 684	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330 - 339 290 - 629 9,938 649	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170 329 10 - 339 7,938 594	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780 1,905 249 10 - 259 5,193 594	27+7 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280 1,755 179 10 - 189 5,473 594	1,249 1,755 1,755 169 10 1,79 3,763	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 139 10 149 3,733 594	1,249 580 1,755 139 10 - 149 3,733 594
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	for year ended Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676	CY+1 31 Mar 20 rices) 1,249 3,320 3,460	735 480 1,215 8,999	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500 659 290 - 949 7,378	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330 - 339 290 629 9,938	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170 329 10	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780 1,905 249 10 259 5,193	CY+7 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280 1,755 - 179 10 - 189 5,473	1,249 580 1,755 169 10 179 3,763	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 - 139 10 149 3,733	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580 1,755 139 10 - 149 3,733
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Expenditure on non-network assets Expenditure on assets	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676	CY+1 31 Mar 20 prices) 1,249 3,320 3,460 - 1,132 480 - 1,612 9,641 2,614	735 480 1,249 3,455 3,080 	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500 - 659 290 - 949 7,378 684	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330 - 339 290 - 629 9,938 649	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170 329 10 - 339 7,938 594	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780 1,905 249 10 - 259 5,193 594	27+7 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280 1,755 179 10 - 189 5,473 594	1,249 1,755 1,755 169 10 1,79 3,763	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 139 10 149 3,733 594	1,249 580 1,755 139 10 - 149 3,733 594
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Expenditure on non-network assets Expenditure on assets Subcomponents of expenditure on assets (where known)	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p	CY+1 31 Mar 20 vrices) 1,249 3,320 3,460 - 1,132 480 - 1,612 9,641 2,614 12,255	735 480 1,219 3,455 3,080 - 1,215 8,999 524 9,523	1,249 2,680 2,500 - 659 290 - 949 7,378 684 8,062	1,249 5,730 2,330 290 629 9,938 649 10,587	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170 329 10	1,249 1,780 1,905 249 10 259 5,193 5,787	1,249 2,280 1,755 - 179 10 - 189 5,473 594 6,067	1,249 580 1,755 - 169 10 - 179 3,763 594 4,357	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 - 139 10 - 149 3,733 594 4,327	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580 1,755 139 10 149 3,733 594 4,327
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Expenditure on non-network assets Expenditure on assets Subcomponents of expenditure on assets (where known) Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p 1,191 988 3,676 984 190 1,174 7,029 2,085 9,114	CY+1 31 Mar 20 prices) 1,249 3,320 3,460 1,132 480 1,612 9,641 2,614 12,255	735 480 1,215 8,999 524 9,523	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500 659 290 - 949 7,378 684 8,062	CY+4 31 Mar 23 1,249 5,730 2,330 - 339 290 - 629 9,938 649 10,587	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170 329 10	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780 1,905	2747 31 Mar 26 1,249 2,280 1,755 - 179 10 - 189 5,473 594 6,067	1,249 1,755 169 10 179 3,763 5,94 4,357	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 139 10 149 3,733 594 4,327	1,249 580 1,755 139 10 149 3,733 594 4,327
30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46	Consumer connection System growth Asset replacement and renewal Asset relocations Reliability, safety and environment: Quality of supply Legislative and regulatory Other reliability, safety and environment Total reliability, safety and environment Expenditure on network assets Expenditure on non-network assets Expenditure on assets Subcomponents of expenditure on assets (where known)	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19 \$000 (in constant p	CY+1 31 Mar 20 prices) 1,249 3,320 3,460 1,132 480 1,612 9,641 2,614 12,255	735 480 1,215 8,999 524 9,523	CY+3 31 Mar 22 1,249 2,680 2,500 659 290 - 949 7,378 684 8,062	1,249 5,730 2,330 290 629 9,938 649 10,587	CY+5 31 Mar 24 1,249 3,180 3,170 329 10	CY+6 31 Mar 25 1,249 1,780 1,905	1,249 2,280 1,755 - 179 10 - 189 5,473 594 6,067	1,249 1,755 169 10 179 3,763 5,94 4,357	CY+9 31 Mar 28 1,249 580 1,755 139 10 149 3,733 594 4,327	CY+10 31 Mar 29 1,249 580 1,755 139 10 149 3,733 594 4,327

Network Waitaki Ltd 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is not part of audited disclosure information.

	ins information is not part of dualica discissare information.												
sch r	ef .												
51			Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
52		for year ended		31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24	31 Mar 25	31 Mar 26	31 Mar 27	31 Mar 28	31 Mar 29
53			\$000	52 11.0. 20	92 Mai 22	51 mai 22	51 1110. 25	51 mai 24	52 11101 25	51 mai 25	52 mai 27	52 11.0. 20	51 Mai 25
54	•		(62)	_	25	50	76	103	130	158	186	214	244
55			(503)	_	69	108	351	262	185	288	86	100	113
56			(1,046)	_	62	101	143	261	198	221	261	301	342
57			-	_				-	-	-		-	-
58				I	I	I	-	-	I	I	I	I	
59	7		2,339	_	15	27	21	27	26	23	25	24	27
60			(105)	-	10	12	18	1	1	1	1	2	2
61	o ,		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
62	Total reliability, safety and environment		2,234	-	24	38	38	28	27	24	27	26	29
63			623	-	180	298	608	654	540	690	560	641	728
64			-	-	10	28	40	49	62	75	88	102	116
65	Expenditure on assets		623	-	190	326	648	703	602	765	648	743	844
66					-								•
67			Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5					
0,		for year ended		31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24					
68	11a(ii): Consumer Connection												
69			\$000 (in constant pr	rices)									
70			537	312	312	312	312	312					
71			347	312	312	312	312	312					
72			307	625	625	625	625	625					
73													
74	[EDB consumer type]												
75	*include additional rows if needed	'											
76	Consumer connection expenditure		1,191	1,249	1,249	1,249	1,249	1,249					
77	less Capital contributions funding consumer connection		1,050	850	850	850	850	850					
78	Consumer connection less capital contributions		141	399	399	399	399	399					
79	11a(iii): System Growth												
80	Subtransmission		165	330	730	300	3,100	2,450					
81	Zone substations		250	1,070	1,995	2,150	2,400	-					
82	Distribution and LV lines		202	1,090	500	150	150	500					
83	Distribution and LV cables		-	750	150	-	-	150					
84	Distribution substations and transformers		371	80	80	80	80	80					
85	ů –		-	-	-	-	-	-					
86			-	-	-	-	-	-					
87	, ,		988	3,320	3,455	2,680	5,730	3,180					
88													
89			988	3,320	3,455	2,680	5,730	3,180					
90													

Network Waitaki Ltd 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

	information is not part of audited disclosure information.		or experiental c	23cts iii stiicdulc	(manaatary E/			
sch ref								
				CV. 4	GV-2	CV. 3	CV. 4	CY+5
91 92		for year ended	Current Year CY 31 Mar 19	CY+1 31 Mar 20	CY+2 31 Mar 21	CY+3 31 Mar 22	CY+4 31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24
92		ioi year ended	31 Wai 13	31 Wai 20	31 Willi 21	31 Will 22	31 Mill 23	31 Widi 24
93	11a(iv): Asset Replacement and Renewal	:	\$000 (in constant pr	ices)				
94	Subtransmission		50	300	300	300	300	300
95	Zone substations		565	530	910	160	10	960
96	Distribution and LV lines		2,129	1,950	1,350	1,350	1,350	1,350
97	Distribution and LV cables	_	12	170	170	170	170	170
98	Distribution substations and transformers	_	290	210	50	220	220	210
99	Distribution switchgear	-	630	280	280	280	280	180
100	Other network assets		3,676	20 3,460	3,080	20 2,500	2,330	3,170
101 102	Asset replacement and renewal expenditure less Capital contributions funding asset replacement and renewal	-	3,6/6	3,460	3,080	2,500	2,330	3,170
102	Asset replacement and renewal less capital contributions		3,676	3,460	3,080	2,500	2,330	3,170
104	Asset replacement and renewariess capital contributions	L	3,070	3,400	3,000	2,300	2,550	3,170
105			Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
106		for year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24
	44-/-). At D-Iti							
107	11a(v): Asset Relocations							
108	Project or programme*	ľ	\$000 (in constant pr	ices)				
109 110	[Description of material project or programme] [Description of material project or programme]	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111	[Description of material project or programme]	-	-	-		-		
112	[Description of material project or programme]							
113	[Description of material project or programme]	-	_	_	_	_	_	_
114	*include additional rows if needed	_					<u>_</u>	
115	All other project or programmes - asset relocations	Γ	-	-	-	-	-	-
116	Asset relocations expenditure		-	-	-	-	-	-
117	less Capital contributions funding asset relocations		_	-	-	-	-	-
	capital contributions familing asset relocations							
117	Asset relocations less capital contributions		-	-	-	-	-	-

Network Waitaki Ltd 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

Th	s information is not part of audited disclosure information.				, , , , ,	. , , , , , ,		
sch re	•							
•								
120			Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
121		for year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24
122	11a(vi): Quality of Supply							
123	Project or programme*	;	\$000 (in constant pr	rices)				
124	Fibre Projects		-	50	28	-	30	60
125	Replace Ohau 11kV Oil Switches with Halo RMU		53	-	-	-	-	-
126	Replace 1 X 551 relay and upgrade older protection panel		7	30	-	30	-	-
	Rural switch gear, install fault indicators, and other minor rural							
127	protection changes	-	112	30	30	30	40	30
	Purchase and Install Reclosers/Sectionalisers/Tie Switches	-	39	40	35	35	35	35
	EDE ABS Replacement	-	-	500	500	200	-	
	Install 4 x ABS General	-	60	32	32	32	48	24
	UG Ducting HV LV Fibre (General)	-	7	25	25	25	25	25
	Post construction - retightens	-		130	40	40	46	50
	Arc Flash Protection (Weston 33, Chelmer, Redcastle)	-	20	60	-	60	60	60
	New technologies trial	-		-	-	100	-	-
	Duplicate 33kV DC-DC Power Supply			-	-	-	-	-
	Replace 1 x rural 2 pole Transformer Structures		12	25	25	25	25	25
	Twizel to Omarama 33kV reinforcement project		258	-	-	-	-	-
	Radio Link Upgrade (PC Sums, project development in 2017/18)	-	30	150	-	62	-	-
	LV undergrounding projects		70	-	-	-	-	-
	Investigate LV customer monitoring		-	10	-	-	-	-
	Distribution smart sensors (DTM/Bellwether meters)		-	20	20	20	30	20
	SCADA access to Engineering data at substations		-	30	-	-	-	-
	Pukeuri Zone substation dual trafo upgrade		310					
	Backup supplies for Radio Repeater		6					
128	[Description of material project or programme]							
129	*include additional rows if needed							
130	All other projects or programmes - quality of supply		-	-	-	-	-	-
131	Quality of supply expenditure		984	1,132	735	659	339	329
132	less Capital contributions funding quality of supply		-	-	-	-	-	-
133	Quality of supply less capital contributions		984	1,132	735	659	339	329
134								

Network Waitaki Ltd 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 11a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast of the value of commissioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)

EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar forecasts of expenditure on assets in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is not part of audited disclosure information.

sch ref								
135			Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
136		for year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24
137	11a(vii): Legislative and Regulatory							
138	Project or programme*		\$000 (in constant p	rices)				
139	Distribution Box Replacement		140	230	230	240	240	10
140	Remove or raise road crossings on transport corridors	•	50	50	50	50	50	-
141	Safety Work at Substations			200	200	-	-	-
142	[Description of material project or programme]							
143	[Description of material project or programme]							
144	*include additional rows if needed	г	П					
145	All other projects or programmes - legislative and regulatory		-	-	-	-	-	-
146	Legislative and regulatory expenditure		190	480	480	290	290	10
147	less Capital contributions funding legislative and regulatory		190	480	480	290	290	10
148 149	Legislative and regulatory less capital contributions		190	480	480	290	290	10
			Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
150		for year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24
151	11a(viii): Other Reliability, Safety and Environment	o. year ended	JI Irial 13	31 mai 20	31 WIGH 21	JI WILL ZZ	31 Hidi 23	31 Hidi 24
152	Project or programme*		\$000 (in constant p	rices)				
153	[Description of material project or programme]		-	-	-	-	_	_
154	[Description of material project or programme]	-	-	_	-	-	-	-
155	[Description of material project or programme]		-	-	-	-	_	-
156	[Description of material project or programme]		-	-	-	-	-	-
157	[Description of material project or programme]		-	-	-	-		-
158	*include additional rows if needed							
159	All other projects or programmes - other reliability, safety and environmen	nt	-	-	-	-	-	-
160	Other reliability, safety and environment expenditure		-	-	-	-	-	-
161	less Capital contributions funding other reliability, safety and environment		-	-	-	-	-	-
162 163	Other reliability, safety and environment less capital contributions		-	-	-	-	-	-
103								
164			Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
165	1	for year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24
166	11a(ix): Non-Network Assets							
167	Routine expenditure							
168	Project or programme*		\$000 (in constant p	rices)				
169	Contracting		181	96	96	96	96	96
170	Vehicles		416	613	380	540	505	450
	Technology		268	237	48	48	48	48
171								
172								
173	Other							
174	*include additional rows if needed							
175	All other projects or programmes - routine expenditure		-	-	-	-	-	-
176	Routine expenditure		865	946	524	684	649	594

										_	
										Company Name	Network Waitaki Ltd
										AMP Planning Period	1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029
SC	HEDULE 11	a: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPITAL EXPE	NDITURE								
		s a breakdown of forecast expenditure on assets for the current	disclosure year and a 1	10 year planning peri	od. The forecasts sh	ould be consistent v	vith the supporting i	nformation set out i	n the AMP. The fore	cast is to be expressed in both constant p	rice and nominal dollar terms. Also required is a forecast
		issioned assets (i.e., the value of RAB additions)				l- 4.4- /8.4					
		planatory comment on the difference between constant price and t part of audited disclosure information.	na nominai dollar forec	asts of expenditure	on assets in Schedu	le 14a (iviandatory E	xpianatory Notes).				
11115		repart of dudited disclosure information.									
ch ref											
177	Atypical	expenditure									
178	Pro	oject or programme*									
179	Pro	operty and Buildings		1,220	1,000						
180	Co	ntracting			668						
181											
182											
183											
184	*in	nclude additional rows if needed			•			•			
185	All	other projects or programmes - atypical expenditure		-	-	-	-	-	-		
186	Atypi	cal expenditure		1,220	1,668	-	-	-	-		
187											
188	Exper	nditure on non-network assets		2,085	2,614	524	684	649	594		

Network Waitaki Ltd
1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 11b: REPORT ON FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast operational expenditure for the disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar operational expenditure forecasts in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is not part of audited disclosure information.

	nis information is not part of audited disclosure information.											
sch	ef											
7		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
8	for year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24	31 Mar 25	31 Mar 26	31 Mar 27	31 Mar 28	31 Mar 29
9	Operational Expenditure Forecast	\$000 (in nominal do						,				
10	Service interruptions and emergencies	447	494	504	514	524	535	545	556	567	579	590
11	Vegetation management	540	599	611	623	636	648	661	675	688	702	716
12	Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection	888	1,131	947	965	985	1,004	1,003	1,023	1,043	1,064	1,085
13	Asset replacement and renewal	603	590	500	510	520	530	541	552	563	574	586
14	Network Opex	2,478	2,814	2,561	2,612	2,665	2,718	2,750	2,805	2,861	2,919	2,977
15	System operations and network support	769	945	965	985	1,006	1,027	1,049	1,071	1,094	1,117	1,140
16	Business support	1,303	1,331	1,358	1,385	1,412	1,441	1,470	1,499	1,529	1,559	1,591
17	Non-network opex	2,072	2,276	2,323	2,370	2,418	2,468	2,518	2,570	2,622	2,676	2,731
18	Operational expenditure	4,550	5,090	4,884	4,982	5,083	5,186	5,269	5,375	5,484	5,595	5,708
						2					22	
19		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
20	for year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24	31 Mar 25	31 Mar 26	31 Mar 27	31 Mar 28	31 Mar 29
21		ć000 (:tt	.:\									
21	Consider interspetions and emergencies	\$000 (in constant pr		404	404	404	404	404	404	404	404	404
22	Service interruptions and emergencies	447	494	494	494	494	494	494	494	494	494	494
22 23	Vegetation management	447 540	494 599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599	599
22 23 24	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection	447 540 888	494 599 1,131	599 928	599 928	599 928	599 928	599 908	599 908	599 908	599 908	599 908
22 23 24 25	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal	447 540 888 603	494 599 1,131 590	599 928 490	599 928 490	599 928 490	599 928 490	599 908 490	599 908 490	599 908 490	599 908 490	599 908 490
22 23 24 25 26	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex	447 540 888 603 2,478	494 599 1,131 590 2,814	599 928 490 2,511	599 928 490 2,511	599 928 490 2,511	599 928 490 2,511	599 908 490 2,491	599 908 490 2,491	599 908 490 2,491	599 908 490 2,491	599 908 490 2,491
22 23 24 25 26 27	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support	447 540 888 603 2,478 769	494 599 1,131 590 2,814	599 928 490 2,511 946	599 928 490 2,511 947	599 928 490 2,511 948	599 928 490 2,511 949	599 908 490 2,491 950	599 908 490 2,491 951	599 908 490 2,491 952	599 908 490 2,491 953	599 908 490 2,491 954
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303 2,072	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331 2,276	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331 2,277	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331 2,278	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331 2,279	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331 2,280	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331 2,281	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331 2,282	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331 2,283	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331 2,284	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331 2,285
22 23 24 25 26 27 28	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex Operational expenditure	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303 2,072	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331 2,276	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331 2,277	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331 2,278	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331 2,279	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331 2,280	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331 2,281	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331 2,282	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331 2,283	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331 2,284	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331 2,285
222 233 244 255 266 277 288 299 30	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex Operational expenditure Subcomponents of operational expenditure (where known)	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303 2,072	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331 2,276	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331 2,277	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331 2,278	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331 2,279	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331 2,280	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331 2,281	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331 2,282	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331 2,283	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331 2,284	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331 2,285
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex Operational expenditure	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303 2,072	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331 2,276	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331 2,277 4,788	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331 2,278 4,789	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331 2,279 4,790	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331 2,280	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331 2,281	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331 2,282	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331 2,283	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331 2,284 4,775	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331 2,285
222 233 244 255 266 277 288 299 300 311 32	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex Operational expenditure Subcomponents of operational expenditure (where known) Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303 2,072 4,550	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331 2,276 5,090	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331 2,277 4,788	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331 2,278 4,789	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331 2,279 4,790	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331 2,280 4,791	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331 2,281 4,772	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331 2,282 4,773	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331 2,283 4,774	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331 2,284 4,775	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331 2,285 4,776
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex Operational expenditure Subcomponents of operational expenditure (where known) Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303 2,072 4,550	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331 2,276 5,090	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331 2,277 4,788	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331 2,278 4,789	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331 2,279 4,790	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331 2,280 4,791	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331 2,281 4,772	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331 2,282 4,773	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331 2,283 4,774	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331 2,284 4,775	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331 2,285 4,776
22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex Operational expenditure Subcomponents of operational expenditure (where known) Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses Direct billing*	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303 2,072 4,550	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331 2,276 5,090	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331 2,277 4,788	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331 2,278 4,789	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331 2,279 4,790	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331 2,280 4,791	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331 2,281 4,772	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331 2,282 4,773	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331 2,283 4,774	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331 2,284 4,775	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331 2,285 4,776
222 233 244 255 266 277 288 299 300 311 322 333 344 355 360	Vegetation management Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection Asset replacement and renewal Network Opex System operations and network support Business support Non-network opex Operational expenditure Subcomponents of operational expenditure (where known) Energy efficiency and demand side management, reduction of energy losses Direct billing* Research and Development	447 540 888 603 2,478 769 1,303 2,072 4,550 N/A N/A	494 599 1,131 590 2,814 945 1,331 2,276 5,090	599 928 490 2,511 946 1,331 2,277 4,788	599 928 490 2,511 947 1,331 2,278 4,789	599 928 490 2,511 948 1,331 2,279 4,790	599 928 490 2,511 949 1,331 2,280 4,791	599 908 490 2,491 950 1,331 2,281 4,772 N/A N/A N/A	599 908 490 2,491 951 1,331 2,282 4,773 N/A N/A N/A	599 908 490 2,491 952 1,331 2,283 4,774	599 908 490 2,491 953 1,331 2,284 4,775	599 908 490 2,491 954 1,331 2,285 4,776

Network Waitaki Ltd

1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 11b: REPORT ON FORECAST OPERATIONAL EXPENDITURE

Operational expenditure

This schedule requires a breakdown of forecast operational expenditure for the disclosure year and a 10 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP. The forecast is to be expressed in both constant price and nominal dollar terms. EDBs must provide explanatory comment on the difference between constant price and nominal dollar operational expenditure forecasts in Schedule 14a (Mandatory Explanatory Notes).

This information is not part of audited disclosure information.

sch .	ef												
39			Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5	CY+6	CY+7	CY+8	CY+9	CY+10
40	for y	year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24	31 Mar 25	31 Mar 26	31 Mar 27	31 Mar 28	31 Mar 29
	Difference by the control of the con												
41	Difference between nominal and real forecasts	\$	0000	1		1		1	1			1	
42	Service interruptions and emergencies		-	-	10	20	30	41	51	62	73	85	96
43	Vegetation management		-	-	12	24	37	49	62	76	89	103	117
44	Routine and corrective maintenance and inspection		-	-	19	37	57	76	95	115	135	156	177
45	Asset replacement and renewal	L	-	-	10	20	30	40	51	62	73	84	96
46	Network Opex		-	-	50	101	154	207	259	314	370	428	486
47	System operations and network support		-	-	19	38	58	78	99	120	142	164	186
48	Business support		-	-	27	54	81	110	139	168	198	228	260
49	Non-network opex		-	-	46	92	139	188	237	288	339	392	446

193

293

395

497

602

710

820

Company Name Network Waitaki Ltd

AMP Planning Period 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 12a: REPORT ON ASSET CONDITION

This schedule requires a breakdown of asset condition by asset class as at the start of the forecast year. The data accuracy assessment relates to the percentage values disclosed in the asset condition columns. Also required is a forecast of the percentage of units to be replaced in the next 5 years. All information should be consistent with the information provided in the AMP and the expenditure on assets forecast in Schedule 11a. All units relating to cable and line assets, that are expressed in km, refer to circuit lengths.

sc	h ref												
	7						Asset o	condition at star	rt of planning p	eriod (percenta	age of units by g	rade)	
	9	Voltage	Asset category	Asset class	Units	H1	H2	НЗ	Н4	Н5	Grade unknown	Data accuracy (1–4)	% of asset forecast to be replaced in next 5 years
	10	All	Overhead Line	Concrete poles / steel structure	No.	0.50%	5.00%	94.50%				2	5.00%
	11	All	Overhead Line	Wood poles	No.	1.00%	8.00%	91.00%				2	11.00%
	12	All	Overhead Line	Other pole types	No.							N/A	
	13	HV	Subtransmission Line	Subtransmission OH up to 66kV conductor	km		20.00%	55.00%	25.00%			3	3.00%
	14	HV	Subtransmission Line	Subtransmission OH 110kV+ conductor	km							N/A	
	15	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (XLPE)	km		10.00%	90.00%				3	3.00%
	16	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (Oil pressurised)	km							N/A	
	17	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (Gas pressurised)	km							N/A	
	18	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG up to 66kV (PILC)	km		30.00%	70.00%				3	
	19	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (XLPE)	km							N/A	
	20	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (Oil pressurised)	km							N/A	
	21	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (Gas Pressurised)	km							N/A	
	22	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission UG 110kV+ (PILC)	km							N/A	
	23	HV	Subtransmission Cable	Subtransmission submarine cable	km							N/A	
	24	HV	Zone substation Buildings	Zone substations up to 66kV	No.		6.00%	88.00%	6.00%			3	
	25	HV	Zone substation Buildings	Zone substations 110kV+	No.							N/A	
	26	HV	Zone substation switchgear	22/33kV CB (Indoor)	No.				100.00%			3	
	27	HV	Zone substation switchgear	22/33kV CB (Outdoor)	No.		5.00%	45.00%	50.00%			3	
	28	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV Switch (Ground Mounted)	No.							N/A	
	29	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV Switch (Pole Mounted)	No.			100.00%				3	
	30	HV	Zone substation switchgear	33kV RMU	No.							N/A	
	31	HV	Zone substation switchgear	50/66/110kV CB (Indoor)	No.							N/A	
	32	HV	Zone substation switchgear	50/66/110kV CB (Outdoor)	No.			100.00%				3	
	33	HV	Zone substation switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (ground mounted)	No.		10.00%	85.00%	5.00%			3	10.00%
	34	HV	Zone substation switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (pole mounted)	No.		10.00%	90.00%				3	
	35												

Company Name Network Waitaki Ltd

AMP Planning Period 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 12a: REPORT ON ASSET CONDITION

This schedule requires a breakdown of asset condition by asset class as at the start of the forecast year. The data accuracy assessment relates to the percentage values disclosed in the asset condition columns. Also required is a forecast of the percentage of units to be replaced in the next 5 years. All information should be consistent with the information provided in the AMP and the expenditure on assets forecast in Schedule 11a. All units relating to cable and line assets, that are expressed in km, refer to circuit lengths.

	ref												
	16 17						Asset	condition at sta	rt of planning pe	eriod (percentag	ge of units by g	rade)	
		Voltage	Asset category	Asset class	Units	Н1	H2	нз	Н4	Н5	Grade unknown	Data accuracy (1–4)	% of asset forecast to be replaced in next 5 years
3	19	HV	Zone Substation Transformer	Zone Substation Transformers	No.	5.00%	5.00%	40.00%	27.00%	23.00%		3	10.00%
4	10	HV	Distribution Line	Distribution OH Open Wire Conductor	km	5.00%	10.00%	80.00%	3.00%	2.00%		3	5.00%
4	11	HV	Distribution Line	Distribution OH Aerial Cable Conductor	km							N/A	
4	12	HV	Distribution Line	SWER conductor	km							N/A	
4	13	HV	Distribution Cable	Distribution UG XLPE or PVC	km		10.00%	87.00%	3.00%			3	
4	14	HV	Distribution Cable	Distribution UG PILC	km	3.00%	20.00%	77.00%				3	3.00%
4	!5	HV	Distribution Cable	Distribution Submarine Cable	km							N/A	
4	16	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (pole mounted) - reclosers and sectionalisers	No.		5.00%	80.00%	10.00%	5.00%		3	2.00%
4	7	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV CB (Indoor)	No.							N/A	
4	U	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV Switches and fuses (pole mounted)	No.	10.00%	10.00%	65.00%	10.00%	5.00%		3	15.00%
4	19	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV Switch (ground mounted) - except RMU	No.							N/A	
5	0	HV	Distribution switchgear	3.3/6.6/11/22kV RMU	No.		5.00%	80.00%	10.00%	5.00%		3	5.00%
5	1	HV	Distribution Transformer	Pole Mounted Transformer	No.	2.00%	3.00%	90.00%	3.00%	2.00%		3	5.00%
		HV	Distribution Transformer	Ground Mounted Transformer	No.	1.00%	1.00%	91.50%	6.50%			3	2.00%
	-	HV	Distribution Transformer	Voltage regulators	No.			40.00%	50.00%	10.00%		3	
		HV	Distribution Substations	Ground Mounted Substation Housing	No.		30.00%	70.00%				2	
		LV	LV Line	LV OH Conductor	km		4.00%	96.00%				2	4.00%
		LV	LV Cable	LV UG Cable	km			100.00%				3	
		LV	LV Streetlighting	LV OH/UG Streetlight circuit	km		5.00%	95.00%				3	5.00%
		LV	Connections	OH/UG consumer service connections	No.		2.00%	98.00%				3	2.00%
	_	All	Protection	Protection relays (electromechanical, solid state and numeric)	No.		3.00%	77.00%	10.00%	10.00%		3	3.00%
	~	All	SCADA and communications	SCADA and communications equipment operating as a single system	Lot			100.00%				3	
6		All	Capacitor Banks	Capacitors including controls	No.	 			100.00%			3	
		All	Load Control	Centralised plant	Lot	 		100.00%				3	
	-	All	Load Control	Relays	No.	 	20.00%		80.00%			3	
6	14	All	Civils	Cable Tunnels	km							N/A	

Company Name

Network Waitaki Ltd

AMP Planning Period

1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029

SCHEDULE 12b: REPORT ON FORECAST CAPACITY

This schedule requires a breakdown of current and forecast capacity and utilisation for each zone substation and current distribution transformer capacity. The data provided should be consistent with the information provided in the AMP. Information provided in this table should relate to the operation of the network in its normal steady state configuration.

sch ref

12b(i): System Growth - Zone Substations

8	
	Existi
9	Ruata
10	Ohau
11	Oma
12	Oten
13	Kuro
14	Otek
15	Dunt
16	Ngap
17	Awa
18	Papa
19	Enfie
20	Parso
21	Puke
22	Cheli
23	Redo
24	Five
25	Mah

Existing Zone Substations	Current Peak Load (MVA)	Installed Firm Capacity (MVA)	Security of Supply Classification (type)	Transfer Capacity (MVA)	Utilisation of Installed Firm Capacity %	Installed Firm Capacity +5 years (MVA)	Utilisation of Installed Firm Capacity + 5yrs %	Installed Firm Capacity Constraint +5 years (cause)	Explanation
Ruataniwha	1	2	N	1	42%	2	46%	No constraint within +5 years	
Ohau	1	3	N	2	47%	3	53%	No constraint within +5 years	
Omarama	2	6	N	4	28%	3	60%	No constraint within +5 years	
Otematata	1	3	N	2	20%	3	20%	No constraint within +5 years	
Kurow	5	10	N-1	5	53%	10	47%	No constraint within +5 years	
Otekaieke	-	-	-	-	-	7	61%	No constraint within +5 years	
Duntroon	6	7	N	1	81%	7	57%	No constraint within +5 years	
Ngapara	6	7	N	2	79%	7	64%	No constraint within +5 years	
Awamoko	-	-	-	-	-	7	66%	No constraint within +5 years	
Papakaio	6	7	N	1	86%	7	93%	No constraint within +5 years	
Enfield	2	7	N	5	33%	7	36%	No constraint within +5 years	
Parsons Road	4	10	N	6	38%	10	41%	No constraint within +5 years	
Pukeuri	9	12	N-1	3	78%	12	96%	No constraint within +5 years	
Chelmer Street	10	28	N-1	19	34%	28	38%	No constraint within +5 years	
Redcastle	9	15	N-1	6	62%	15	74%	No constraint within +5 years	
Five Forks	1	7	N	6	20%	7	27%	No constraint within +5 years	
Maheno	4	5	N	2	70%	5	82%	No constraint within +5 years	
Hampden	1	7	N	6	20%	7	34%	No constraint within +5 years	
					-				

¹ Extend forecast capacity table as necessary to disclose all capacity by each zone substation

Network Waitaki Ltd Company Name 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029 AMP Planning Period SCHEDULE 12C: REPORT ON FORECAST NETWORK DEMAND This schedule requires a forecast of new connections (by consumer type), peak demand and energy volumes for the disclosure year and a 5 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP as well as the assumptions used in developing the expenditure forecasts in Schedule 11a and Schedule 11b and the capacity and utilisation forecasts in Schedule 12b. sch ref 12c(i): Consumer Connections Number of ICPs connected in year by consumer type Number of connections Current Year CY CY+1 CY+2 CY+3 CY+4 CY+5 10 for year ended 31 Mar 19 31 Mar 20 31 Mar 21 31 Mar 22 31 Mar 23 31 Mar 24 11 Consumer types defined by EDB* 12 Small: residential and commercial to 15kVA 10.845 11.170 11.505 11.851 12,572 13 1,523 1,665 Medium: residential and commercial 16kVA to 50kVA 1,450 1,479 1,569 1,616 14 Large: commercial and industrial 51kVA and above 587 593 599 605 611 617 Indpendent Contract Consumers ("IND") 86 15 [EDB consumer type] 16 17 12,968 13,328 13,714 14,110 14,519 14,940 Connections total 18 *include additional rows if needed 19 Distributed generation 20 100 115 Number of connections 21 Capacity of distributed generation installed in year (MVA) 12c(ii) System Demand 22 23 CY+1 CY+2 CY+4 Current Year CY CY+3 CY+5 24 Maximum coincident system demand (MW) 31 Mar 19 31 Mar 20 31 Mar 21 31 Mar 22 31 Mar 23 31 Mar 24 for year ended 25 26 Distributed generation output at HV and above 27 Maximum coincident system demand 66 68 28 less Net transfers to (from) other EDBs at HV and above 29 Demand on system for supply to consumers' connection points 30 Electricity volumes carried (GWh) 270 280 31 Electricity supplied from GXPs 251 270 32 Electricity exports to GXPs 33 Electricity supplied from distributed generation 34 Net electricity supplied to (from) other EDBs 35 Electricity entering system for supply to ICPs 251 270 270 280 280 280 36 236 256 256 266 266 266 less Total energy delivered to ICPs 37 14 14 15

38 39

40

Load factor

Loss ratio

43%

6.1%

45%

5.3%

42%

5.3%

42%

5.3%

41%

41%

5.3%

Company Name AMP Planning Period Network / Sub-network Name

Network Waitaki Ltd 1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029 Network Waitaki Ltd

SCHEDULE 12d: REPORT FORECAST INTERRUPTIONS AND DURATION

This schedule requires a forecast of SAIFI and SAIDI for disclosure and a 5 year planning period. The forecasts should be consistent with the supporting information set out in the AMP as well as the assumed impact of planned and unplanned SAIFI and SAIDI on the expenditures forecast provided in Schedule 11a and Schedule 11b.

ŀ	sch re	f						
	8		Current Year CY	CY+1	CY+2	CY+3	CY+4	CY+5
	9	for year ended	31 Mar 19	31 Mar 20	31 Mar 21	31 Mar 22	31 Mar 23	31 Mar 24
	10	SAIDI					ľ	
	11	Class B (planned interruptions on the network)	101.2	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
	12	Class C (unplanned interruptions on the network)	49.6	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0	50.0
	13	SAIFI						
	14	Class B (planned interruptions on the network)	0.49	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50	0.50
	15	Class C (unplanned interruptions on the network)	1.27	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00

Company Name	Network Waitaki Ltd
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029
Asset Management Standard Applied	N/A

		on the EDB'S self-assessment of the ma					
Question No. 3	Function Asset management policy	Question To what extent has an asset management policy been documented, authorised and communicated?	2	Evidence—Summary We have an Asset Management policy approved by the CEO and reviewed by top management. It is available for staff in the policy section of the document library, and available to the public on the company website along with the AMP under the information disclosure section. Communication of the policy, and how it affects staff in their day to day work, is not as effective as it needs to be to warrant a score of 3.	Why Widely used AM practice standards require an organisation to document, authorise and communicate its asset management policy (eg, as required in PAS 55 para 4.2 i). A key pre-requisite of any robust policy is that the organisation's top management must be seen to endorse and fully support it. Also vital to the effective implementation of the policy, is to tell the appropriate people of its content and their obligations under it. Where an organisation outsources some of its asset-related activities, then these people and their organisations must equally be made aware of the policy's content. Also, there may be other stakeholders, such as regulatory authorities and shareholders who should be made aware of it.	Who Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management.	Record/documented Information The organisation's asset management policy, its organisational strategic plan, documents indicatir how the asset management policy was based upon the needs of the organisation and evidence of communication.
10	Asset management strategy	What has the organisation done to ensure that its asset management strategy is consistent with other appropriate organisational policies and strategies, and the needs of stakeholders?	2	Key items in the Asset Management drivers are closely linked to stakeholder direction, such as the consumer surveys, and in some cases (such as the AMP) are subject to signoff from our board of directors. There is regular reporting on our asset management performance to stakeholders such as the board and the Consumer Trust. There is feedback through to modify the drivers where necessary, for example in 2017 the approach to consumer engagement and the content of the surveys was extensively overhauled with the intention of getting more actionable information. However, we cannot say that "all linkages are in place" to say that there is no missed opportunities to align internal strategies and policies with the asset management strategic focus, therefore cannot score 3 in this section.	In setting an organisation's asset management strategy, it is important that it is consistent with any other policies and strategies that the organisation has and has taken into account the requirements of relevant stakeholders. This question examines to what extent the asset management strategy is consistent with other organisational policies and strategies (eg, as required by PAS 55 para 4.3.1 b) and has taken account of stakeholder requirements as required by PAS 55 para 4.3.1 c). Generally, this will take into account the same polices, strategies and stakeholder requirements as covered in drafting the asset management policy but at a greater level of detail.	Top management. The organisation's strategic planning team. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management.	The organisation's asset management strategy document and other related organisational polici and strategies. Other than the organisation's strategic plan, these could include those relating health and safety, environmental, etc. Results of stakeholder consultation.
11	Asset management strategy	In what way does the organisation's asset management strategy take account of the lifecycle of the assets, asset types and asset systems over which the organisation has stewardship?	2	There is a comprehensive range of planning, maintenance and inspection standards that reflect asset lives and characteristics. These are regularly updated according to the Document Control system. Recent work has shown that these are focussed on high risk and high value assets, and that there are gaps in coverage for "less important/less critical" assets.	Good asset stewardship is the hallmark of an organisation compliant with widely used AM standards. A key component of this is the need to take account of the lifecycle of the assets, asset types and asset systems. (For example, this requirement is recognised in 4.3.1 d) of PAS 55). This question explores what an organisation has done to take lifecycle into account in its asset management strategy.	Top management. People in the organisation with expert knowledge of the assets, asset types, asset systems and their associated life-cycles. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Those responsible for developing and adopting methods and processes used in asset management	The organisation's documented asset managements strategy and supporting working documents.
26	Asset management plan(s)	How does the organisation establish and document its asset management plan(s) across the life cycle activities of its assets and asset systems?	2	The entry of new types of assets initiates the generation of policies and operating /maintenance documentation, based on industry practice and our specific circumstances. These plans reflect the expected lives, unique characteristics and recommended maintenance intervals for assets. However, there is still scope for the update and retroactive generation of this documentation for existing asset types.	The asset management strategy need to be translated into practical plan(s) so that all parties know how the objectives will be achieved. The development of plan(s) will need to identify the specific tasks and activities required to optimize costs, risks and performance of the assets and/or asset system(s), when they are to be carried out and the resources required.	The management team with overall responsibility for the asset management system. Operations, maintenance and engineering managers.	The organisation's asset management plan(s).

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
3	Asset	To what extent has an asset	The organisation does not have a	The organisation has an asset	The organisation has an asset	The asset management policy is	The organisation's process(es) surpa
	management	management policy been	documented asset management	management policy, but it has not	management policy, which has been	authorised by top management, is	the standard required to comply wit
	policy	documented, authorised and	policy.	been authorised by top management,	authorised by top management, but it	widely and effectively communicated	requirements set out in a recognised
	ļ	communicated?		or it is not influencing the	has had limited circulation. It may be	to all relevant employees and	standard.
				management of the assets.	in use to influence development of	stakeholders, and used to make these	
					strategy and planning but its effect is	persons aware of their asset related	The assessor is advised to note in the
					limited.	obligations.	Evidence section why this is the case
					lillined.	obligations.	and the evidence seen.
							and the evidence seen.
10	Asset	What has the organisation	The organisation has not considered	The need to align the asset	Some of the linkages between the	All linkages are in place and evidence	The organisation's process(es) surpa
	management	done to ensure that its asset	the need to ensure that its asset	management strategy with other	long-term asset management strategy	is available to demonstrate that,	the standard required to comply wit
	strategy	management strategy is	management strategy is appropriately	organisational policies and strategies	and other organisational policies,	where appropriate, the organisation's	requirements set out in a recognise
		consistent with other	aligned with the organisation's other	as well as stakeholder requirements is	strategies and stakeholder	asset management strategy is	standard.
		appropriate organisational	organisational policies and strategies	understood and work has started to	requirements are defined but the	consistent with its other	
		policies and strategies, and the	or with stakeholder requirements.	identify the linkages or to incorporate	work is fairly well advanced but still	organisational policies and strategies.	The assessor is advised to note in the
		needs of stakeholders?	OR	them in the drafting of asset	incomplete.	The organisation has also identified	Evidence section why this is the cas
			The organisation does not have an	management strategy.		and considered the requirements of	and the evidence seen.
			asset management strategy.			relevant stakeholders.	
11	Asset	In what way does the	The organisation has not considered	The need is understood, and the	The long-term asset management	The asset management strategy takes	The organisation's process(es) surpa
	management	organisation's asset	the need to ensure that its asset	organisation is drafting its asset	strategy takes account of the lifecycle	account of the lifecycle of all of its	the standard required to comply wi
	strategy	management strategy take	management strategy is produced	management strategy to address the	of some, but not all, of its assets, asset	assets, asset types and asset systems.	requirements set out in a recognise
	,	account of the lifecycle of the	with due regard to the lifecycle of the	lifecycle of its assets, asset types and	types and asset systems.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	standard.
		assets, asset types and asset	assets, asset types or asset systems	asset systems.	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		systems over which the	that it manages.	asset systems:			The assessor is advised to note in the
		organisation has stewardship?	OR				Evidence section why this is the case
		organisation has stewardship:					and the evidence seen.
			The organisation does not have an				and the evidence seen.
			asset management strategy.				
26	Asset	How does the organisation	The organisation does not have an	The organisation has asset	The organisation is in the process of	Asset management plan(s) are	The organisation's process(es) surp
20	management	establish and document its	identifiable asset management plan(s)	management plan(s) but they are not	putting in place comprehensive,	established, documented,	the standard required to comply wi
							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	plan(s)	asset management plan(s)	covering asset systems and critical	aligned with the asset management	documented asset management	implemented and maintained for	requirements set out in a recognise
		across the life cycle activities of	assets.	strategy and objectives and do not	plan(s) that cover all life cycle	asset systems and critical assets to	standard.
		its assets and asset systems?		take into consideration the full asset	activities, clearly aligned to asset	achieve the asset management	
				life cycle (including asset creation,	management objectives and the asset	strategy and asset management	The assessor is advised to note in the
				acquisition, enhancement, utilisation,	management strategy.	objectives across all life cycle phases.	Evidence section why this is the cas
				maintenance decommissioning and			and the evidence seen.
				disposal).			

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
27	Asset management plan(s)	How has the organisation communicated its plan(s) to all relevant parties to a level of detail appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery?	3	The AMP is available to the public, the wider staff, and the Consumer Trust on our website, or by calling into our offices. Many staff, including senior management and the CEO, as well as key contractor personnel are involved in the preparation and review of the AMP. Our Board approves our budgets and reviews and signs off on the AMP.	Plans will be ineffective unless they are communicated to all those, including contracted suppliers and those who undertake enabling function(s). The plan(s) need to be communicated in a way that is relevant to those who need to use them.	the asset management system. Delivery functions	Distribution lists for plan(s). Documents derived from plan(s) which detail the receivers role in plan delivery. Evidence of communication.
29	Asset management plan(s)	How are designated responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions documented?	3	The AMP is available to the public, the wider staff, and the Consumer Trust on our website, or by calling into our offices. Many staff and key contractor personnel are involved in the preparation and review of the AMP. Our Board approves our budgets and signs off on the AMP.	The implementation of asset management plan(s) relies on (1) actions being clearly identified, (2) an owner allocated and (3) that owner having sufficient delegated responsibility and authority to carry out the work required. It also requires alignment of actions across the organisation. This question explores how well the plan(s) set out responsibility for delivery of asset plan actions.	The management team with overall responsibility for the asset management system. Operations, maintenance and engineering managers. If appropriate, the performance management team.	The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documentation defining roles and responsibilities o individuals and organisational departments.
31	Asset management plan(s)	What has the organisation done to ensure that appropriate arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? (Note this is about resources and enabling support)	3	We are well resourced for our current Asset Management regime from an implementation point of view. Specific detail design and construction expertise will be contracted in as required. We monitor our workforce to identify gaps in training and competencies. We have been employing new trainees to build up staff levels to account for staff who are nearing retirement age. Resources are limited in the Asset Management and Network Planning areas of the business.	It is essential that the plan(s) are realistic and can be implemented, which requires appropriate resources to be available and enabling mechanisms in place. This question explores how well this is achieved. The plan(s) not only need to consider the resources directly required and timescales, but also the enabling activities, including for example, training requirements, supply chain capability and procurement timescales.	The management team with overall responsibility for the asset management system. Operations, maintenance and engineering managers. If appropriate, the performance management team. If appropriate, the performance management team. Where appropriate the procurement team and service providers working on the organisation's asset-related activities.	The organisation's asset management plan(s). Documented processes and procedures for the delivery of the asset management plan.
33	Contingency	What plan(s) and procedure(s) does the organisation have for identifying and responding to incidents and emergency situations and ensuring continuity of critical asset management activities?	2	We have a comprehensive suite of Business Continuity Plans that cover asset failure, natural disasters and interruption to key processes. We review these plans at regular intervals, or when a specific event (such as the Christchurch earthquakes) causes concern. Our plans include working with external agencies such as the Police, Fire Service, and Civil Defence. Regular incidents such as cars hitting poles provide on-going training and opportunities to review plans. These plans have been developed as part of a wider risk management framework based on ISO 31000 that considers a range of mitigation measures.	Widely used AM practice standards require that an organisation has plan(s) to identify and respond to emergency situations. Emergency plan(s) should outline the actions to be taken to respond to specified emergency situations and ensure continuity of critical asset management activities including the communication to, and involvement of, external agencies. This question assesses if, and how well, these plan(s) triggered, implemented and resolved in the event of an incident. The plan(s) should be appropriate to the level of risk as determined by the organisation's risk assessment methodology. It is also a requirement that relevant personnel are competent and trained.	The manager with responsibility for developing emergency plan(s). The organisation's risk assessment team. People with designated duties within the plan(s) and procedure(s) for dealing with incidents and emergency situations.	The organisation's plan(s) and procedure(s) for dealing with emergencies. The organisation's risk assessments and risk registers.

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
27	Asset	How has the organisation	The organisation does not have plan(s)		The plan(s) are communicated to most	The plan(s) are communicated to all	The organisation's process(es) surpass
	management plan(s)	communicated its plan(s) to all relevant parties to a level of detail appropriate to the receiver's role in their delivery?	or their distribution is limited to the authors.	some of those responsible for delivery of the plan(s). OR Communicated to those responsible for delivery is either irregular or adhoc.	of those responsible for delivery but there are weaknesses in identifying relevant parties resulting in incomplete or inappropriate communication. The organisation recognises improvement is needed as is working towards resolution.	relevant employees, stakeholders and contracted service providers to a level of detail appropriate to their participation or business interests in the delivery of the plan(s) and there is	the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard.
29	Asset management plan(s)	How are designated responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions documented?	responsibilities for delivery of asset plan actions.	Asset management plan(s) inconsistently document responsibilities for delivery of plan actions and activities and/or responsibilities and authorities for implementation inadequate and/or delegation level inadequate to ensure effective delivery and/or contain misalignments with organisational accountability.	Asset management plan(s) consistently document responsibilities for the delivery of actions but responsibility/authority levels are inappropriate/ inadequate, and/or there are misalignments within the organisation.	for the delivery actions and there is adequate detail to enable delivery of actions. Designated responsibility and authority for achievement of asset	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
31	Asset management plan(s)	What has the organisation done to ensure that appropriate arrangements are made available for the efficient and cost effective implementation of the plan(s)? (Note this is about resources and enabling support)	effective implementation of plan(s).	The organisation recognises the need to ensure appropriate arrangements are in place for implementation of asset management plan(s) and is in the process of determining an appropriate approach for achieving this.	The organisation has arrangements in place for the implementation of asset management plan(s) but the arrangements are not yet adequately efficient and/or effective. The organisation is working to resolve existing weaknesses.	cover all the requirements for the efficient and cost effective implementation of asset management plan(s) and realistically address the resources and timescales required, and any changes needed to functional	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
33	Contingency planning	does the organisation have for	procedure(s) to identify and respond to incidents and emergency situations.		Most credible incidents and emergency situations are identified. Either appropriate plan(s) and procedure(s) are incomplete for critical activities or they are inadequate. Training/ external alignment may be incomplete.	to credible incidents and manage continuity of critical asset management activities consistent with policies and asset management objectives. Training and external	The organisation's process(es) surpast the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

						No. South and the second of th		
Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	Why	Who	Record/documented Information	
37	Structure, authority and responsibiliti es	What has the organisation done to appoint member(s) of its management team to be responsible for ensuring that the organisation's assets deliver the requirements of the asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s)?	3	Our management structure and company organisation are designed to remove silos and encourage cross talk between the different groups involved in the management of the network, from Engineering to Finance to Field services. The small size of the business and the culture of working together means that all members of the management team have involvement in asset management. Accountability for outcomes ranges from formal KPI's at an annual level, formal monthly management meetings after each Board meeting, to daily discussions of progress.	In order to ensure that the organisation's assets and asset systems deliver the requirements of the asset management policy, strategy and objectives responsibilities need to be allocated to appropriate people who have the necessary authority to fulfil their responsibilities. (This question, relates to the organisation's assets eg, para b), s 4.4.1 of PAS 55, making it therefore distinct from the requirement contained in para a), s 4.4.1 of PAS 55).	Top management. People with management responsibility for the delivery of asset management policy, strategy, objectives and plan(s). People working on asset-related activities.	Evidence that managers with responsibility for the delivery of asset management policy, strategy, objectives and plan(s) have been appointed and have assumed their responsibilities. Evidence may include the organisation's documents relating to its asset management system, organisational charts, job descriptions of post-holders, annual targets/objectives and personal development plan(s) of post-holders as appropriate.	
40	Structure, authority and responsibiliti es	What evidence can the organisation's top management provide to demonstrate that sufficient resources are available for asset management?	2	We discuss the ongoing works programme with our field staff in order to predict resource levels required for delivery of the plan. If resources are not available for a particular reason we will decide between contracting in extra resources, or rescheduling the work to fit around our existing workforce. We are actively recruiting trainees to safeguard succession as senior staff retire. Long term planning is still a challenge at times, and we are developing methods for improving this.	Optimal asset management requires top management to ensure sufficient resources are available. In this context the term 'resources' includes manpower, materials, funding and service provider support.	Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Risk management team. The organisation's managers involved in day-to-day supervision of asset-related activities, such as frontline managers, engineers, foremen and chargehands as appropriate.	Evidence demonstrating that asset management plan(s) and/or the process(es) for asset management plan implementation consider the provision of adequate resources in both the short and long term. Resources include funding, materials, equipment, services provided by third parties and personnel (internal and service providers) with appropriate skills competencies and knowledge.	
42	Structure, authority and responsibiliti es	To what degree does the organisation's top management communicate the importance of meeting its asset management requirements?	3	After each monthly Board meeting, our CEO presents the Board's feedback at a staff meeting. This meeting is supported by regular meetings amongst all staff to determine details of the works programs. Because we are a small company, communication is constant and managers work to remove any information barriers between staff.	Widely used AM practice standards require an organisation to communicate the importance of meeting its asset management requirements such that personnel fully understand, take ownership of, and are fully engaged in the delivery of the asset management requirements (eg, PAS 55 s 4.4.1 g).	Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. People involved in the delivery of the asset management requirements.	Evidence of such activities as road shows, written bulletins, workshops, team talks and management walk-abouts would assist an organisation to demonstrate it is meeting this requirement of PAS 55.	
45	Outsourcing of asset management activities	Where the organisation has outsourced some of its asset management activities, how has it ensured that appropriate controls are in place to ensure the compliant delivery of its organisational strategic plan, and its asset management policy and strategy?	2	We have a Contractor Approval Procedure, which allows to vet external contractors against our safety and skill requirements prior to them working on our assets. All external contractors are provided with all network Standards, Procedures etc, and their work is subject to inspections and completion audits. There is still opportunity to improve this area through the use of standardised contracts etc. to guarantee that all external interactions provide the highest levels of performance.	Where an organisation chooses to outsource some of its asset management activities, the organisation must ensure that these outsourced process(es) are under appropriate control to ensure that all the requirements of widely used AM standards (eg, PAS 55) are in place, and the asset management policy, strategy objectives and plan(s) are delivered. This includes ensuring capabilities and resources across a time span aligned to life cycle management. The organisation must put arrangements in place to control the outsourced activities, whether it be to external providers or to other in-house departments. This question explores what the organisation does in this regard.	Top management. The management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. The manager(s) responsible for the monitoring and management of the outsourced activities. People involved with the procurement of outsourced activities. The people within the organisations that are performing the outsourced activities. The people impacted by the outsourced activity.	The organisation's arrangements that detail the compliance required of the outsourced activities. For example, this this could form part of a contract or service level agreement between the organisation and the suppliers of its outsourced activities. Evidence that the organisation has demonstrated to itself that it has assurance of compliance of outsourced activities.	

estion No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
37	Structure,	What has the organisation	Top management has not considered	Top management understands the	Top management has appointed an	The appointed person or persons have	
i	authority and	done to appoint member(s) of	the need to appoint a person or	need to appoint a person or persons	appropriate people to ensure the	full responsibility for ensuring that the	the standard required to comply w
	responsibilities	its management team to be	persons to ensure that the	to ensure that the organisation's	assets deliver the requirements of the	organisation's assets deliver the	requirements set out in a recognise
		responsible for ensuring that	organisation's assets deliver the	assets deliver the requirements of the	asset management strategy, objectives	requirements of the asset	standard.
		the organisation's assets	requirements of the asset	asset management strategy, objectives	and plan(s) but their areas of	management strategy, objectives and	
		deliver the requirements of the	management strategy, objectives and	and plan(s).	responsibility are not fully defined	plan(s). They have been given the	The assessor is advised to note in t
		asset management strategy,	plan(s).		and/or they have insufficient	necessary authority to achieve this.	Evidence section why this is the case
		objectives and plan(s)?			delegated authority to fully execute		and the evidence seen.
					their responsibilities.		
40 5	Structure,	What evidence can the	The organisation's top management	The organisations top management	A process exists for determining what	An effective process exists for	The organisation's process(es) sur
	authority and	organisation's top	has not considered the resources	understands the need for sufficient	resources are required for its asset	determining the resources needed for	the standard required to comply
	responsibilities	management provide to	required to deliver asset management.	resources but there are no effective	management activities and in most	asset management and sufficient	requirements set out in a recogni
	•	demonstrate that sufficient		mechanisms in place to ensure this is	cases these are available but in some	resources are available. It can be	standard.
		resources are available for		the case.	instances resources remain	demonstrated that resources are	
		asset management?		the base.	insufficient.	matched to asset management	The assessor is advised to note in
		asset management.			samere	requirements.	Evidence section why this is the ca
						requirements.	and the evidence seen.
							and the evidence seen.
	Structure,	To what degree does the	The organisation's top management	The organisations top management	Top management communicates the	Top management communicates the	The organisation's process(es) sur
	authority and	organisation's top	has not considered the need to	understands the need to	importance of meeting its asset	importance of meeting its asset	the standard required to comply v
	responsibilities		communicate the importance of	communicate the importance of	management requirements but only	management requirements to all	requirements set out in a recognis
		importance of meeting its asset	meeting asset management	meeting its asset management	to parts of the organisation.	relevant parts of the organisation.	standard.
		management requirements?	requirements.	requirements but does not do so.			
							The assessor is advised to note in
							Evidence section why this is the ca
							and the evidence seen.
45	Outsourcing of	Where the organisation has	The organisation has not considered	The organisation controls its	Controls systematically considered but	Evidence exists to demonstrate that	The organisation's process(es) sur
	asset	outsourced some of its asset	the need to put controls in place.	outsourced activities on an ad-hoc	currently only provide for the	outsourced activities are appropriately	the standard required to comply
	management	management activities, how		basis, with little regard for ensuring	compliant delivery of some, but not	controlled to provide for the	requirements set out in a recogni
	activities	has it ensured that appropriate		for the compliant delivery of the		compliant delivery of the	standard.
		controls are in place to ensure		organisational strategic plan and/or its	strategic plan and/or its asset	organisational strategic plan, asset	
		the compliant delivery of its		asset management policy and	management policy and strategy.	management policy and strategy, and	The assessor is advised to note in
		organisational strategic plan,		strategy.			Evidence section why this is the ca
		and its asset management		30.00087.	· ·	the asset management system	and the evidence seen.
						the asset management system	and the evidence seen.
		policy and strategy?					

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
48	Training, awareness and competence	How does the organisation develop plan(s) for the human resources required to undertake asset management activities - including the development and delivery of asset management strategy, process(es), objectives and plan(s)?	3	We are a small company and we have sought out staff with Asset management experience, and then provided further training. We are active in training	There is a need for an organisation to demonstrate that it has	Senior management responsible for agreement of plan(s). Managers responsible for developing asset management strategy and plan(s). Managers with responsibility for development and recruitment of staff (including HR functions). Staff responsible for training. Procurement officers. Contracted service	Evidence of analysis of future work load plan(s) in terms of human resources. Document(s) containin analysis of the organisation's own direct resources and contractors resource capability over suitable timescales. Evidence, such as minutes of meetings that suitable management forums are monitoring human resource development plan(s). Training plan(s), personal development plan(s), contract and service level agreements.
49	and competence	How does the organisation identify competency requirements and then plan, provide and record the training necessary to achieve the competencies?	2		Widely used AM standards require that organisations to undertake a systematic identification of the asset management awareness and competencies required at each level and function within the organisation. Once identified the training required to provide the necessary competencies should be planned for delivery in a timely and systematic way. Any training provided must be recorded and maintained in a suitable format. Where an organisation has contracted service providers in place then it should have a means to demonstrate that this requirement is being met for their employees. (eg, PAS 55 refers to frameworks suitable for identifying competency requirements).	Senior management responsible for agreement of plan(s). Managers responsible for developing asset management strategy and plan(s). Managers with responsibility for development and recruitment of staff (including HR functions). Staff responsible for training. Procurement officers. Contracted service providers.	Evidence of an established and applied competence requirements assessment process and plan(s) in place to deliver the required training. Evidence that the training programme is part of a wider, coordinated asset management activities training and competency programme. Evidence that training activities are recorded and that records are readily available (for both direct and contracted service provider staff) e.g. via organisation wide information system or local records database.
50	and competence	How does the organization ensure that persons under its direct control undertaking asset management related activities have an appropriate level of competence in terms of education, training or experience?	3	to help identify any training needs. Internal auditors compare the training records of staff against our		Managers, supervisors, persons responsible for developing training programmes. Staff responsible for procurement and service agreements. HR staff and those responsible for recruitment.	Evidence of a competency assessment framework that aligns with established frameworks such as the asset management Competencies Requirements Framework (Version 2.0); National Occupational Standards for Management and Leadership; UK Standard for Professional Engineering Competence Engineering Council, 2005.

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
48	Training, awareness and competence	How does the organisation develop plan(s) for the human resources required to undertake asset management activities - including the development and delivery of asset management strategy, process(es), objectives and plan(s)?	The organisation has not recognised the need for assessing human resources requirements to develop and implement its asset management system.	The organisation has recognised the need to assess its human resources requirements and to develop a plan(s). There is limited recognition of the need to align these with the development and implementation of its asset management system.	The organisation has developed a strategic approach to aligning competencies and human resources to the asset management system including the asset management plan but the work is incomplete or has not been consistently implemented.	The organisation can demonstrate that plan(s) are in place and effective in matching competencies and capabilities to the asset management system including the plan for both internal and contracted activities. Plans are reviewed integral to asset management system process(es).	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
49	Training, awareness and competence	How does the organisation identify competency requirements and then plan, provide and record the training necessary to achieve the competencies?	The organisation does not have any means in place to identify competency requirements.	The organisation has recognised the need to identify competency requirements and then plan, provide and record the training necessary to achieve the competencies.	The organisation is the process of identifying competency requirements aligned to the asset management plan(s) and then plan, provide and record appropriate training. It is incomplete or inconsistently applied.	Competency requirements are in place and aligned with asset management plan(s). Plans are in place and effective in providing the training necessary to achieve the competencies. A structured means of recording the competencies achieved is in place.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
50	Training, awareness and competence	How does the organization ensure that persons under its direct control undertaking asset management related activities have an appropriate level of competence in terms of education, training or experience?	The organization has not recognised the need to assess the competence of person(s) undertaking asset management related activities.	Competency of staff undertaking asset management related activities is not managed or assessed in a structured way, other than formal requirements for legal compliance and safety management.	The organization is in the process of putting in place a means for assessing the competence of person(s) involved in asset management activities including contractors. There are gaps and inconsistencies.	Competency requirements are identified and assessed for all persons carrying out asset management related activities - internal and contracted. Requirements are reviewed and staff reassessed at appropriate intervals aligned to asset management requirements.	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name	Network Waitaki Ltd
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029
Asset Management Standard Applied	N/A

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
53 (Communicati	How does the organisation	3	We have put a lot of focus into providing work packs	Widely used AM practice standards require that pertinent asset	Top management and senior management	Asset management policy statement prominently
- 6	on,	ensure that pertinent asset		of a high standard to field services that are suitable	management information is effectively communicated to and from	representative(s), employee's representative(s),	displayed on notice boards, intranet and interne
		management information is		for the safe and efficient delivery of our asset	employees and other stakeholders including contracted service	employee's trade union representative(s);	use of organisation's website for displaying asset
1	and	effectively communicated to		management tasks. We have an open door policy,	providers. Pertinent information refers to information required in	contracted service provider management and	performance data; evidence of formal briefings t
	consultation	and from employees and other		whereby contracting staff are encouraged to dicsuss	order to effectively and efficiently comply with and deliver asset	employee representative(s); representative(s) from	employees, stakeholders and contracted service
		stakeholders, including		assigne3d tasks with engineering staff. This	management strategy, plan(s) and objectives. This will include for	the organisation's Health, Safety and Environmental	providers; evidence of inclusion of asset
		contracted service providers?		encourages the free flow of information from the	example the communication of the asset management policy, asset		management issues in team meetings and
		bontracted service providers.		field to the planners. However, there is evidence that	performance information, and planning information as appropriate	realing they state in order to present a title (a).	contracted service provider contract meetings;
				there are still perceived to be barriers in effective	to contractors.		newsletters, etc.
				communication between all levels of the business,	to contractors.		mewsietters, etc.
				and are working towards identifying ways to improve			
				communication.			
				communication.			
59	Asset	What documentation has the	2	NWL has a comprehensive range of policies,	Widely used AM practice standards require an organisation	The management team that has overall	The documented information describing the ma
ļ,	Management	organisation established to		standards and procedures that address all Asset	maintain up to date documentation that ensures that its asset	responsibility for asset management. Managers	elements of the asset management system
5	System	describe the main elements of		Management activities. NWL also has a Safety	management systems (ie, the systems the organisation has in place	engaged in asset management activities.	(process(es)) and their interaction.
1	documentati	its asset management system		management System in place, which requires a high	to meet the standards) can be understood, communicated and		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	on	and interactions between		level of document control. These documents are	operated. (eg, s 4.5 of PAS 55 requires the maintenance of up to		
		them?		regularly revised and amended. Our understanding of	date documentation of the asset management system		
				best practice in asset management has revealed gaps	requirements specified throughout s 4 of PAS 55).		
				which we were previously unaware of, and			
				documentation is being developed to fill these, such			
				as Asset Class Plans.			
	Information	What has the organisation	2	We have focussed on upgrading our GIS and our	Effective asset management requires appropriate information to	The organisation's strategic planning team. The	Details of the process the organisation has empl
ļ,	management	done to determine what its		works and asset management system to store useful	be available. Widely used AM standards therefore require the	management team that has overall responsibility for	· ·
		asset management information		asset information and to integrate and coordinate	organisation to identify the asset management information it	asset management. Information management team.	should contain in order to support its asset
		system(s) should contain in		information, including external sources. The asset	requires in order to support its asset management system. Some	Operations, maintenance and engineering managers	management system. Evidence that this has be
		order to support its asset		information that we capture into these systems is	of the information required may be held by suppliers.		effectively implemented.
		management system?		driven both by industry good practice (talking to peer			
				EDBs, working from standards, using fit for purpose	The maintenance and development of asset management		
				software platforms) and by local experience. We are	information systems is a poorly understood specialist activity that		
				developing methods to accurately capture field data	is akin to IT management but different from IT management. This		
				direct to these systems, with several in test. The next	group of questions provides some indications as to whether the		
				major step is using the data in the systems for	capability is available and applied. Note: To be effective, an asset		
				comprehensive, deep analysis of the lifecycle of our	information management system requires the mobilisation of		
				assets.	technology, people and process(es) that create, secure, make		
					available and destroy the information required to support the		
					asset management system.		
63 I	Information	How does the organisation	3	Our on-going inspections and pre-work site	The response to the questions is progressive. A higher scale cannot	The management team that has overall	The asset management information system, tog
		maintain its asset management	_	preparation provide confirmation that asset data is	be awarded without achieving the requirements of the lower scale.		with the policies, procedure(s), improvement
	g .	information system(s) and		accurate. Field software is being adopted to maximise		organisational information systems.	initiatives and audits regarding information con
		ensure that the data held		efficiency and reduce errors from the field.	This question explores how the organisation ensures that	7,500	- Serving
		within it (them) is of the		and reduce errors from the field.	information management meets widely used AM practice		
		requisite quality and accuracy			requirements (eg, s 4.4.6 (a), (c) and (d) of PAS 55).		
					requirements (eg, 5 4.4.0 (a), (c) allu (u) oi PAS 55).		
		and is consistent?					

Company Name	Network Waitaki Ltd
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029
Asset Management Standard Applied	N/A

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4					
53	Communication, participation and consultation	How does the organisation ensure that pertinent asset management information is effectively communicated to and from employees and other stakeholders, including contracted service providers?	The organisation has not recognised the need to formally communicate any asset management information.	There is evidence that the pertinent asset management information to be shared along with those to share it with is being determined.	The organisation has determined pertinent information and relevant parties. Some effective two way communication is in place but as yet not all relevant parties are clear on their roles and responsibilities with respect to asset management information.	Two way communication is in place between all relevant parties, ensuring that information is effectively communicated to match the requirements of asset management strategy, plan(s) and process(es). Pertinent asset information requirements are regularly reviewed.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.					
59	Asset Management System documentation	What documentation has the organisation established to describe the main elements of its asset management system and interactions between them?	The organisation has not established documentation that describes the main elements of the asset management system.	The organisation is aware of the need to put documentation in place and is in the process of determining how to document the main elements of its asset management system.	The organisation in the process of documenting its asset management system and has documentation in place that describes some, but not all, of the main elements of its asset management system and their interaction.	The organisation has established documentation that comprehensively describes all the main elements of its asset management system and the interactions between them. The documentation is kept up to date.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.					
62	Information management	What has the organisation done to determine what its asset management information system(s) should contain in order to support its asset management system?	The organisation has not considered what asset management information is required.	The organisation is aware of the need to determine in a structured manner what its asset information system should contain in order to support its asset management system and is in the process of deciding how to do this.	The organisation has developed a structured process to determine what its asset information system should contain in order to support its asset management system and has commenced implementation of the process.	its asset information system should contain in order to support its asset management system. The requirements relate to the whole life cycle and cover information originating from both internal and external sources.	The organisation's process(es) surpass the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.					
63	Information management		There are no formal controls in place or controls are extremely limited in scope and/or effectiveness.	The organisation is aware of the need for effective controls and is in the process of developing an appropriate control process(es).	controls that will ensure the data held	The organisation has effective controls in place that ensure the data held is of the requisite quality and accuracy and is consistent. The controls are regularly reviewed and improved where necessary.	the standard required to comply with					

Company Name	Network Waitaki Ltd
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029
Asset Management Standard Applied	N/A

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
64	Information	How has the organisation's	2	We have sized our asset management information	Widely used AM standards need not be prescriptive about the	The organisation's strategic planning team. The	The documented process the organisation employs
		ensured its asset management information system is relevant to its needs?		systems to our foreseeable needs, based on industry good practice and by buying reputable products. We are still working with users to identify their ongoing needs, as developments continue.	form of the asset management information system, but simply require that the asset management information system is appropriate to the organisations needs, can be effectively used and can supply information which is consistent and of the requisite quality and accuracy.	management team that has overall responsibility for asset management. Information management team. Users of the organisational information systems.	to ensure its asset management information system aligns with its asset management requirements. Minutes of information systems review meetings involving users.
69	process(es)	How has the organisation documented process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the identification and assessment of asset and asset management related risks throughout the asset life cycle?	3	Our risk management process is clearly documented in the AMP, and is based on the principles of ISO 31000. Operational risks are regularly reviewed. Compliance to regulatory requirements is reported to the Board each quarter. High focus risks are given special attention. Our PSMS, which includes asset risks, is audited to NZS7901 - we have consistently passed these audits. We are updating the PSMS to move from compliance to NZS 7901:2008 to NZS 7901:2014	Risk management is an important foundation for proactive asset management. Its overall purpose is to understand the cause, effect and likelihood of adverse events occurring, to optimally manage such risks to an acceptable level, and to provide an audit trail for the management of risks. Widely used standards require the organisation to have process(es) and/or procedure(s) in place that set out how the organisation identifies and assesses asset and asset management related risks. The risks have to be considered across the four phases of the asset lifecycle (eg, para 4.3.3 of PAS 55).	The top management team in conjunction with the organisation's senior risk management representatives. There may also be input from the organisation's Safety, Health and Environment team. Staff who carry out risk identification and assessment.	The organisation's risk management framework and/or evidence of specific process(es) and/or procedure(s) that deal with risk control mechanisms. Evidence that the process(es) and/or procedure(s) are implemented across the business and maintained. Evidence of agendas and minutes from risk management meetings. Evidence of feedback in to process(es) and/or procedure(s) as a result of incident investigation(s). Risk registers and assessments.
79		How does the organisation ensure that the results of risk assessments provide input into the identification of adequate resources and training and competency needs?	3	Risk management is embedded in our day to day work, ranging from job safety analysis between stakeholders on a project to the "tail gates" and activities on site for a fault response. Feedback from these exercises can be directed either informally (via a conversation with an engineer) or formally (via meeting minutes, specific defects, or discussion at a management meeting).	Widely used AM standards require that the output from risk assessments are considered and that adequate resource (including staff) and training is identified to match the requirements. It is a further requirement that the effects of the control measures are considered, as there may be implications in resources and training required to achieve other objectives.	Staff responsible for risk assessment and those responsible for developing and approving resource and training plan(s). There may also be input from the organisation's Safety, Health and Environment team.	The organisations risk management framework. The organisation's resourcing plan(s) and training and competency plan(s). The organisation should be able to demonstrate appropriate linkages between the content of resource plan(s) and training and competency plan(s) to the risk assessments and risk control measures that have been developed.
82	other requirements	What procedure does the organisation have to identify and provide access to its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, and how is requirements incorporated into the asset management system?	3	We reference ENA & EEA newsletters, and notifications from the Commerce Commission and Electricity Authority. Each manager is formally made aware of their compliance obligations at monthly management meetings, and through the Complywith compliance software system that we have implemented in the last year. We have a culture of discussing potential non-compliances with the relevant authorities.	In order for an organisation to comply with its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, the organisation first needs to ensure that it knows what they are (eg, PAS 55 specifies this in s 4.4.8). It is necessary to have systematic and auditable mechanisms in place to identify new and changing requirements. Widely used AM standards also require that requirements are incorporated into the asset management system (e.g. procedure(s) and process(es))		The organisational processes and procedures for ensuring information of this type is identified, made accessible to those requiring the information and is incorporated into asset management strategy and objectives

Company Name	Network Waitaki Ltd
AMP Planning Period	1 April 2019 – 31 March 2029
Asset Management Standard Applied	N/A

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
64	Information management	How has the organisation's ensured its asset management information system is relevant to its needs?	The organisation has not considered the need to determine the relevance of its management information system. At present there are major gaps between what the information	The organisation understands the need to ensure its asset management information system is relevant to its needs and is determining an appropriate means by which it will achieve this. At present there are significant gaps between what the information system provides and the organisations needs.	The organisation has developed and is implementing a process to ensure its asset management information system is relevant to its needs. Gaps between what the information system provides and the organisations needs have been identified and action is being taken to close them.	The organisation's asset management information system aligns with its asset management requirements.	The organisation's process(es) surpasthe standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
69	Risk management process(es)	How has the organisation documented process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the identification and assessment of asset and asset management related risks throughout the asset life cycle?	The organisation has not considered the need to document process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the identification and assessment of asset and asset management related risks throughout the asset life cycle.	The organisation is aware of the need to document the management of asset related risk across the asset lifecycle. The organisation has plan(s) to formally document all relevant process(es) and procedure(s) or has already commenced this activity.	The organisation is in the process of documenting the identification and assessment of asset related risk across the asset lifecycle but it is incomplete or there are inconsistencies between approaches and a lack of integration.	Identification and assessment of asset related risk across the asset lifecycle is fully documented. The organisation can demonstrate that appropriate documented mechanisms are integrated across life cycle phases and are being consistently applied.	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
79	Use and maintenance of asset risk information	How does the organisation ensure that the results of risk assessments provide input into the identification of adequate resources and training and competency needs?	The organisation has not considered the need to conduct risk assessments.	The organisation is aware of the need to consider the results of risk assessments and effects of risk control measures to provide input into reviews of resources, training and competency needs. Current input is typically ad-hoc and reactive.	The organisation is in the process ensuring that outputs of risk assessment are included in developing requirements for resources and training. The implementation is incomplete and there are gaps and inconsistencies.	Outputs from risk assessments are consistently and systematically used as inputs to develop resources, training and competency requirements. Examples and evidence is available.	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
82	Legal and other requirements	What procedure does the organisation have to identify and provide access to its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, and how is requirements incorporated into the asset management system?	The organisation has not considered the need to identify its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements.	The organisation identifies some its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, but this is done in an ad-hoc manner in the absence of a procedure.	The organisation has procedure(s) to identify its legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements, but the information is not kept up to date, inadequate or inconsistently managed.	Evidence exists to demonstrate that the organisation's legal, regulatory, statutory and other asset management requirements are identified and kept up to date. Systematic mechanisms for identifying relevant legal and statutory requirements.	The organisation's process(es) surpast the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Company Name

AMP Planning Period
Asset Management Standard Applied

N/A

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
88	Life Cycle	How does the organisation establish implement and maintain process(es) for the implementation of its asset management plan(s) and control of activities across the creation, acquisition or enhancement of assets. This includes design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning activities?	3	We have a comprehensive range of Policies, Standards and Procedures that address the entire asset life cycle from planning, design, construction, commissioning, operation, maintenance, renewal and removal. These policies are strictly controlled by a document management system, and are regularly reviewed.	Life cycle activities are about the implementation of asset	Asset managers, design staff, construction staff and project managers from other impacted areas of the business, e.g. Procurement	Documented process(es) and procedure(s) which are relevant to demonstrating the effective management and control of life cycle activities during asset creation, acquisition, enhancement including design modification, procurement, construction and commissioning.
91	Life Cycle Activities	How does the organisation ensure that process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the implementation of asset management plan(s) and control of activities during maintenance (and inspection) of assets are sufficient to ensure activities are carried out under specified conditions, are consistent with asset management strategy and control cost, risk and performance?	2	We have a comprehensive range of Inspection and Maintenance Policies and Standards, programmes etc, to manage the implementation of asset management decisions. Complared to best practice we can improve the formal feedback loops that will verify that successful outcomes are consistently occurring. Business change is managed via a change management system.	Having documented process(es) which ensure the asset management plan(s) are implemented in accordance with any specified conditions, in a manner consistent with the asset management policy, strategy and objectives and in such a way that cost, risk and asset system performance are appropriately controlled is critical. They are an essential part of turning intention into action (eg, as required by PAS 55 s 4.5.1).	Asset managers, operations managers, maintenance managers and project managers from other impacted areas of the business	Documented procedure for review. Documented procedure for audit of process delivery. Records or previous audits, improvement actions and documented confirmation that actions have been carried out.
95	Performance and condition monitoring	How does the organisation measure the performance and condition of its assets?	2	We have clearly specified AM objectives, primarily Reliability and Safety, but also including other measures such as Works Programme progress and financial performance. These measures are continually assessed against targets by respective managers, with action taken to correct variances. These measures are informally reported to the CEO daily, and formally to the Board each month. We are developing improvements in how the capture and use of this data adds value to the asset management process.	Widely used AM standards require that organisations establish implement and maintain procedure(s) to monitor and measure the performance and/or condition of assets and asset systems. They further set out requirements in some detail for reactive and proactive monitoring, and leading/lagging performance indicators together with the monitoring or results to provide input to corrective actions and continual improvement. There is an expectation that performance and condition monitoring will provide input to improving asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s).	A broad cross-section of the people involved in the organisation's asset-related activities from data input to decision-makers, i.e. an end-to end assessment. This should include contactors and other relevant third parties as appropriate.	Functional policy and/or strategy documents for performance or condition monitoring and measurement. The organisation's performance monitoring frameworks, balanced scorecards etc. Evidence of the reviews of any appropriate performance indicators and the action lists resultin from these reviews. Reports and trend analysis using performance and condition information. Evidence of the use of performance and condition information shaping improvements and supporting asset management strategy, objectives and plan(s).
99	of asset- related failures, incidents and	How does the organisation ensure responsibility and the authority for the handling, investigation and mitigation of asset-related failures, incidents and emergency situations and non conformances is clear, unambiguous, understood and communicated?	2	investige incidents where the potential for harm or major damage was high. We are developing Root Cause Analysis skills and practices to ensure that lessons can be captured from all incidents. Defects that are raised in our defect database are assigned an	Widely used AM standards require that the organisation establishes implements and maintains process(es) for the handling and investigation of failures incidents and non-conformities for assets and sets down a number of expectations. Specifically this question examines the requirement to define clearly responsibilities and authorities for these activities, and communicate these unambiguously to relevant people including external stakeholders if appropriate.	The organisation's safety and environment management team. The team with overall responsibility for the management of the assets. People who have appointed roles within the asset-related investigation procedure, from those who carry out the investigations to senior management who review the recommendations. Operational controllers responsible for managing the asset base under fault conditions and maintaining services to consumers. Contractors and other third parties as appropriate.	Process(es) and procedure(s) for the handling, investigation and mitigation of asset-related failure incidents and emergency situations and non conformances. Documentation of assigned responsibilities and authority to employees. Job Descriptions, Audit reports. Common communication systems i.e. all Job Descriptions on Internet etc.

	Function	Output in	Maturity 1 and 2	Backwitz 1 1 d	Mahaniba Lauri 2	Mahwih 1 m 2	Bankunite t and a
uestion No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
88	Life Cycle Activities	How does the organisation establish implement and maintain process(es) for the implementation of its asset management plan(s) and control of activities across the creation, acquisition or enhancement of assets. This includes design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning activities?	The organisation does not have process(es) in place to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during activities related to asset creation including design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning.	The organisation is aware of the need to have process(es) and procedure(s) in place to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during activities related to asset creation including design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning but currently do not have these in place (note: procedure(s) may exist but they are inconsistent/incomplete).	The organisation is in the process of putting in place process(es) and procedure(s) to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during activities related to asset creation including design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning. Gaps and inconsistencies are being addressed.	plan(s) during activities related to asset creation including design, modification, procurement, construction and commissioning.	
91	Life Cycle Activities	How does the organisation ensure that process(es) and/or procedure(s) for the implementation of asset management plan(s) and control of activities during maintenance (and inspection) of assets are sufficient to ensure activities are carried out under specified conditions, are consistent with asset management strategy and control cost, risk and performance?	The organisation does not have process(es)/procedure(s) in place to control or manage the implementation of asset management plan(s) during this life cycle phase.	The organisation is aware of the need to have process(es) and procedure(s) in place to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during this life cycle phase but currently do not have these in place and/or there is no mechanism for confirming they are effective and where needed modifying them.	The organisation is in the process of putting in place process(es) and procedure(s) to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during this life cycle phase. They include a process for confirming the process(es)/procedure(s) are effective and if necessary carrying out modifications.	process(es) and procedure(s) to manage and control the implementation of asset management plan(s) during this life cycle phase. They include a process, which is itself regularly reviewed to ensure it is	The organisation's process(es) surparthe standard required to comply wit requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
95	Performance and condition monitoring	How does the organisation measure the performance and condition of its assets?	The organisation has not considered how to monitor the performance and condition of its assets.	The organisation recognises the need for monitoring asset performance but has not developed a coherent approach. Measures are incomplete, predominantly reactive and lagging. There is no linkage to asset management objectives.	The organisation is developing coherent asset performance monitoring linked to asset management objectives. Reactive and proactive measures are in place. Use is being made of leading indicators and analysis. Gaps and inconsistencies remain.	monitoring linked to asset management objectives is in place and universally used including reactive and proactive measures. Data quality management and review process are appropriate. Evidence of leading	
99	Investigation of asset-related failures, incidents and nonconformities	How does the organisation ensure responsibility and the authority for the handling, investigation and mitigation of asset-related failures, incidents and emergency situations and non conformances is clear, unambiguous, understood and communicated?	The organisation has not considered the need to define the appropriate responsibilities and the authorities.	The organisation understands the requirements and is in the process of determining how to define them.	The organisation are in the process of defining the responsibilities and authorities with evidence. Alternatively there are some gaps or inconsistencies in the identified responsibilities/authorities.	appropriate responsibilities and authorities and evidence is available to show that these are applied across the business and kept up to date.	The organisation's process(es) surpathe standard required to comply wirequirements set out in a recognise standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.

Question No.	Function	Question	Score	Evidence—Summary	Why	Who	Record/documented Information
105	Audit	What has the organisation done to establish procedure(s) for the audit of its asset management system (process(es))?	3	We have a document management system in place that specifies regular review and amendment of specific Policies, Standards, Procedures etc. The audit requirements for the Safety Management System overlapped some of the AM procedures. We subscribe to the PowerCo standards system, which provides extra expertise in certain technical areas.	This question seeks to explore what the organisation has done to comply with the standard practice AM audit requirements (eg, the associated requirements of PAS 55 s 4.6.4 and its linkages to s 4.7).	The management team responsible for its asset management procedure(s). The team with overall responsibility for the management of the assets. Audit teams, together with key staff responsible for asset management. For example, Asset Management Director, Engineering Director. People with responsibility for carrying out risk assessments	The organisation's asset-related audit procedure(s). The organisation's methodology(s) by which it determined the scope and frequency of the audits and the criteria by which it identified the appropriate audit personnel. Audit schedules, reports etc. Evidence of the procedure(s) by which the audit results are presented, together with any subsequent communications. The risk assessment schedule or risk registers.
109	Corrective & Preventative action	How does the organisation instigate appropriate corrective and/or preventive actions to eliminate or prevent the causes of identified poor performance and non conformance?	2	Asset failure, or incipient failure from and inspection is recorded and communicated via our defects database. Defects are assigned owners who are responsible to return the asset to compliant state. We are working towards the discipline of Root Cause Analysis, and the improving the consistency of actions taken in response to an assert failure. While we can guarantee that all staff have safety first and foremost, closely followed by consumer supply issues, it is likely that in some cases we are missing opportunites to improve how we manage our assets due to lack of post incident feedback.	Having investigated asset related failures, incidents and non-conformances, and taken action to mitigate their consequences, an organisation is required to implement preventative and corrective actions to address root causes. Incident and failure investigations are only useful if appropriate actions are taken as a result to assess changes to a businesses risk profile and ensure that appropriate arrangements are in place should a recurrence of the incident happen. Widely used AM standards also require that necessary changes arising from preventive or corrective action are made to the asset management system.	The management team responsible for its asset management procedure(s). The team with overall responsibility for the management of the assets. Audit and incident investigation teams. Staff responsible for planning and managing corrective and preventive actions.	Analysis records, meeting notes and minutes, modification records. Asset management plan(s), investigation reports, audit reports, improvement programmes and projects. Recorded changes to asset management procedure(s) and process(es). Condition and performance reviews. Maintenance reviews
113	Continual Improvement	How does the organisation achieve continual improvement in the optimal combination of costs, asset related risks and the performance and condition of assets and asset systems across the whole life cycle?	3	We apply continuous improvement across all areas of the business. Our safety requirements and asset practices are regulalry updated to meet or surpass good industry practice. Maintenance and Inspection standards are written to ensure that the risk of inservice asset failure is minimised. We subscibe to the NEDERs equipment failure database, and incorporate information on failures into our practices. We track costs against various categories of work which allows the monitoring of performance against planning.	Widely used AM standards have requirements to establish, implement and maintain process(es)/procedure(s) for identifying, assessing, prioritising and implementing actions to achieve continual improvement. Specifically there is a requirement to demonstrate continual improvement in optimisation of cost risk and performance/condition of assets across the life cycle. This question explores an organisation's capabilities in this area—looking for systematic improvement mechanisms rather that reviews and audit (which are separately examined).	The top management of the organisation. The manager/team responsible for managing the organisation's asset management system, including its continual improvement. Managers responsible for policy development and implementation.	Records showing systematic exploration of improvement. Evidence of new techniques being explored and implemented. Changes in procedure(: and process(es) reflecting improved use of optimisation tools/techniques and available information. Evidence of working parties and research.
115	Continual Improvement	How does the organisation seek and acquire knowledge about new asset management related technology and practices, and evaluate their potential benefit to the organisation?	3	We activley monitor external sources of advice or comment such as the EEA and engage with other EDB's and suppliers and manufacturers on latest practice and equipment. We are actively using data from the NEDERs asset failure database to inform our asset management practice. Design staff are involved in industry forums in their area of expertise. We encourage staff to talk with colleagues in other companies and industries, and invite vendors to demonstrate and discuss new techniques and technologies. We actively trial new technologies to verify the utility for our operation. We engage with forums and businesses that are outside our traditional peers in the electrical industry, such as working with District Councils on our GIS project.	One important aspect of continual improvement is where an organisation looks beyond its existing boundaries and knowledge base to look at what 'new things are on the market'. These new things can include equipment, process(es), tools, etc. An organisation which does this (eg, by the PAS 55 s 4.6 standards) will be able to demonstrate that it continually seeks to expand its knowledge of all things affecting its asset management approach and capabilities. The organisation will be able to demonstrate that it identifies any such opportunities to improve, evaluates them for suitability to its own organisation and implements them as appropriate. This question explores an organisation's approach to this activity.	People that implement changes to the organisation's	Examples of change implementation and evaluation

Question No.	Function	Question	Maturity Level 0	Maturity Level 1	Maturity Level 2	Maturity Level 3	Maturity Level 4
105	Audit	What has the organisation done to establish procedure(s) for the audit of its asset management system (process(es))?	The organisation has not recognised the need to establish procedure(s) for the audit of its asset management system.	The organisation understands the need for audit procedure(s) and is determining the appropriate scope, frequency and methodology(s).		The organisation can demonstrate that its audit procedure(s) cover all the appropriate asset-related activities and the associated reporting of audit results. Audits are to an appropriate level of detail and consistently managed.	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
109	Corrective & Preventative action	How does the organisation instigate appropriate corrective and/or preventive actions to eliminate or prevent the causes of identified poor performance and non conformance?	approaches to instigating corrective or	The organisation recognises the need to have systematic approaches to instigating corrective or preventive actions. There is ad-hoc implementation for corrective actions to address failures of assets but not the asset management system.	The need is recognized for systematic instigation of preventive and corrective actions to address root causes of non compliance or incidents identified by investigations, compliance evaluation or audit. It is only partially or inconsistently in place.	Mechanisms are consistently in place and effective for the systematic instigation of preventive and corrective actions to address root causes of non compliance or incidents identified by investigations, compliance evaluation or audit.	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
113	Continual Improvement	How does the organisation achieve continual improvement in the optimal combination of costs, asset related risks and the performance and condition of assets and asset systems across the whole life cycle?	The organisation does not consider continual improvement of these factors to be a requirement, or has not considered the issue.	A Continual Improvement ethos is recognised as beneficial, however it has just been started, and or covers partially the asset drivers.	Continuous improvement process(es) are set out and include consideration of cost risk, performance and condition for assets managed across the whole life cycle but it is not yet being systematically applied.	There is evidence to show that continuous improvement process(es) which include consideration of cost risk, performance and condition for assets managed across the whole life cycle are being systematically applied.	The organisation's process(es) surpast the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.
115	Continual Improvement	How does the organisation seek and acquire knowledge about new asset management related technology and practices, and evaluate their potential benefit to the organisation?	seek knowledge about new asset management related technology or practices.	The organisation is inward looking, however it recognises that asset management is not sector specific and other sectors have developed good practice and new ideas that could apply. Ad-hoc approach.		The organisation actively engages internally and externally with other asset management practitioners, professional bodies and relevant conferences. Actively investigates and evaluates new practices and evolves its asset management activities using appropriate developments.	The organisation's process(es) surpas the standard required to comply with requirements set out in a recognised standard. The assessor is advised to note in the Evidence section why this is the case and the evidence seen.